



345 Inverness Drive South
Building C, Suite 310
Englewood, CO 80112

T 303-858-8358

F 303-858-8431

gevo.com

July 13, 2016

VIA EDGAR AND OVERNIGHT MAIL

United States Securities and Exchange Commission
Division of Corporation Finance
100 F Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20549
Attention: Mr. Christopher Ronne

Re: Gevo, Inc.
Registration Statement on Form S-3
File No. 333-211370 (the "Registration Statement")

Dear Mr. Ronne:

In accordance with Rule 461 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), Gevo, Inc. (the "Company") hereby requests acceleration of the effectiveness of the Registration Statement to 4:00 p.m. on July 18, 2016, or as soon thereafter as practicable.

In connection with this request for acceleration, the Company hereby acknowledges that:

- should the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") or the staff, acting pursuant to delegated authority, declare the Registration Statement effective, it does not foreclose the Commission from taking any action with respect to the Registration Statement;
- the action of the Commission or the staff, acting pursuant to delegated authority, in declaring the Registration Statement effective, does not relieve the Company from its full responsibility for the adequacy and accuracy of the disclosure in the Registration Statement; and
- the Company may not assert staff comments and the declaration of effectiveness as a defense in any proceeding initiated by the Commission or any person under the federal securities laws of the United States.

The Company hereby confirms that it is aware of its responsibilities under the Securities Act and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as they relate to the proposed public offering of the securities covered by the Registration Statement.

Very truly yours,

GEVO, INC.

By: /s/ Geoffrey T. Williams, Jr.

Name: Geoffrey T. Williams, Jr.

Title: General Counsel and Secretary

cc: Mike Willis, Gevo, Inc.
Teri O'Brien, Paul Hastings LLP
Deyan Spiridonov, Paul Hastings LLP